



WWW.STANDINGFORTRUTHMINISTRIES.COM

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Adam and Eve: Confirmed by the Bible and Genetics

By Donny Budinsky

Were Adam and Eve real people? The answer is yes. This truth rests not only on the authority of Scripture but also on scientific data. Far from being mythical figures, Adam and Eve were real human beings—our first parents—and their genetic imprint can still be seen in all of us today.

The Y Chromosome: Tracing Back to One Man

Let's start with Adam (technically Noah according to the Biblical model of ancestry). The Y chromosome is passed down from father to son like a genetic signature. If you are a man, your Y chromosome came directly from your father, who got it from his father, and so on. What scientists have found is striking: **around the world, Y chromosomes show very little genetic variation.**

Even the most different Y chromosomes discovered are separated by only hundreds of mutations. That's easily explained in the biblical timeframe. From Noah—about 4,500 years ago—to today, we've had enough generations to accumulate that level of variation, especially since the known mutation rate in the Y chromosome is relatively fast (around 1–3 changes per generation).

This means that every man alive today traces his Y chromosome back to a single ancestor. The evidence points not to deep evolutionary time, but to a historical figure who lived thousands of years ago: **Y-Chromosome Noah**.

But the Y chromosome does more than confirm recent human ancestry—it also challenges the evolutionary story itself. Sophisticated sequencing has revealed that the human and chimpanzee Y chromosomes are **less than 30% the same**, with the chimp Y about half the size of the human Y. These differences are far too great to have arisen in the few million years since humans and chimps are said to have shared a common ancestor. In fact, the gorilla Y is more similar to the human Y than the chimp Y is—a direct contradiction of the evolutionary “tree of life.”

Evolutionary explanations, such as sperm competition or accelerated mutation rates, remain untested and unconvincing rescue devices. The evidence continues to point away from common ancestry and toward the biblical account of separate creation.

The Mitochondrial DNA: A Mother of All Living

Now let’s look at Eve. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), the “powerhouse of the cell,” is passed down exclusively from mothers to their children. Just like with the Y chromosome, scientists have discovered very little variation in mtDNA worldwide.

The numbers are telling: on average, people differ by about 25 mtDNA mutations, with a maximum difference of around 140. With a known mutation rate of about 0.1–0.5 every other generation, this points to a single woman—the mother of us all—who lived only thousands of years ago, not hundreds of thousands. Scripture calls her **Eve, the mother of all living**.

Mitochondrial Haplogroups and the Flood

The origins account in Genesis has been validated through many amazing lines of evidence—including creation, the global Flood, and the dispersion at Babel. Genetics strongly confirms this history.

Mitochondrial DNA can be grouped into three major families, called **haplogroups L, M, and N**. Every human being alive today traces back to these three original branches. Many creation scientists interpret this as powerful evidence for the three wives of Noah's sons—Shem, Ham, and Japheth. In other words, these haplogroups may represent the maternal lineages that repopulated the world after the Flood.

The pattern is exactly what the Bible would lead us to expect. The short genetic “branches” connecting the haplogroups reflect pre-Flood history, while the longer lines radiating outward show the many generations since. Even more striking, humans today are only a few dozen mutations away from the “Eve consensus sequence.” Such small differences, in such a short DNA sequence, fit easily within the biblical timeframe.

For those interested in a deeper dive, including responses to common criticisms of the LMN haplogroup argument, see Budinsky, D. (2025), *Human History Confirms Biblical History* (Standing For Truth Ministries). That article addresses objections in detail and demonstrates why the genetic evidence remains firmly consistent with the biblical model.

No Inbreeding Problem in the Biblical Model

Critics of biblical creation often raise objections about bottlenecks and inbreeding. But this challenge is based on a misunderstanding. There is no inbreeding problem in the creation model.

Why? First, creationists don't attribute most DNA diversity to mutations. Instead, much of our genetic variation comes from **created heterozygosity**—built-in diversity designed from the beginning. Inbreeding only becomes harmful when there's a heavy load of mutations. At creation, there were no mutations, and even at the time of the Flood, only minimal mutations had accumulated.

The Bible describes three population bottlenecks: creation (Adam and Eve), the Flood (Noah's family), and the dispersion at Babel. But these bottlenecks were short-lived—one generation followed by rapid population growth. That kind of growth prevents serious inbreeding-related problems.

By contrast, the evolutionary model faces a real inbreeding crisis. Evolutionists explain all genetic diversity as the result of mutations over time, which are overwhelmingly harmful. Their “Out of Africa” bottleneck isn’t a one-generation event, but a long-term reduction of the human population to as few as 2,000–10,000 individuals. Such a prolonged bottleneck would have exposed recessive mutations, accelerating genetic degeneration. It is highly unlikely that a population on the brink of extinction could then go on to spread worldwide and dominate the planet.

Low Genetic Diversity: Exactly What the Bible Predicts

The Bible presents itself as the history book of the universe, and Genesis gives us the creation account of the very first humans. If God created Adam and Eve as the first two people, then human genetic diversity should be limited—since we all descend from them.

And that’s exactly what we see. Humans are **over 99.9% genetically identical**. This low level of diversity fits perfectly within the biblical model. If evolution were true and humans had been around for 100,000 to 200,000 years (adding new mutations every generation), we should see far greater diversity in our DNA today.

A Problem for Evolutionary Models

It’s not just that humans lack the diversity evolution predicts—it’s that our alleged pre-human ancestors make the problem even worse. *Homo erectus*, for example, is claimed to have lived for over a million years. If true, that would mean a million years of adding new genetic variation to the human lineage. Go even further back to supposed ancestors like *Homo habilis* or the australopithecines, and the predicted diversity should be enormous.

But the data don’t match the story. Instead of high genetic diversity, we see striking genetic uniformity—the exact result we’d expect from the biblical account of recent creation.

The Fossil Evidence Problem

The fossil record tells the same story. Evolutionary scientists argue that *Homo erectus* lived from roughly 1.6 million years ago to at least 250,000 years ago. If that timeline were true, billions of

erectus individuals would have lived and died across Africa and Eurasia. With a generation time of about 20 years, the earth should be filled with their remains. Where are the billions of dead bodies?

Yet the actual number of fossils attributed to *Homo erectus* could fit into the trunk of a large car. For “a million years” of existence, the evidence simply isn’t there.

What’s more, *Homo erectus* shows clear signs of being fully human: they built campsites, controlled fire, and navigated with purpose. In light of this, we should be finding ample evidence for their 1-2 million years of history. Where are their campsites, gravesites, and evidence for sophisticated civilization over such a long period of time? The evidence isn't there! Why? Because the evolutionary story of hominins isn't true.

Their so-called “anomalous” features are best explained by biblical history—after Babel, populations became isolated, inbred, and genetically degenerated. They could also represent normal morphological or phenotypic variation from Noah's family and the Pre-Flood world. There appears to be a great deal of morphological adaptability built into each kind (including humans). These traits may have simply become manifested after the Flood. Far from being a separate pre-human species, *Homo erectus* was just another people group—100% human, created in the image of God.

Conclusion: Science Confirms Scripture

The Bible tells us God created the first two humans, Adam and Eve. Genetics confirms their reality by showing that we all trace back to just one man and one woman—exactly what Scripture says. Fossils confirm it as well, revealing humans have always been humans, not half-evolved ancestors. The science of DNA and the testimony of the rocks come together to declare the same truth: humanity is young, united, and descended from the first couple created by God.

Appendix: Quick Responses to Common Objections

1. “Y-chromosome points to 200,000 years, not thousands.”

Most calculations use slow evolutionary rates. Pedigree-based mutation rates are much faster, aligning with biblical timelines.

2. “mtDNA Eve is 150,000–200,000 years ago.”

Again, that depends on slow mutation estimates. Real-world (pedigree) rates compress the origin to thousands.

3. “Humans and chimps share 98–99% DNA—this contradicts your claim.”

That refers to selective regions, not whole-genome comparison. Global Y-chromosome differences are enormous—only ~14–27% align with chimp Y sequences.

4. “Inbreeding would have doomed post-Flood humans.”

Inbreeding is only harmful if mutations are pre-existing. Creationists argue significant variation was created at the start; bottlenecks were brief and followed by rapid growth.

5. “Few fossils? That’s just rare preservation.”

Even rare preservation should yield more *H. erectus* remains over supposed millions of years of habitation. Their human-like behavior also undermines the alleged species distinction.

6. “You’re cherry-picking higher mutation rates.”

Not at all—creationist rates come from **observed data**, not modeled deep-time. Evolutionists stretch rates to fit their theory, not the data.

7. “Three haplogroups don’t prove Noah’s sons’ wives.”

True—they don’t prove it—but the pattern fits remarkably well. For full rebuttals, see Budinsky (2025).

References & Suggested Reading

- Budinsky, D. (2025). *Human History Confirms Biblical History*. Standing For Truth Ministries.
- Budinsky, D. (2025). *Refuting Dr. Stefan Frello, Part One: The Y Chromosomes of Humans & Great Apes*. Standing For Truth Ministries. Retrieved from <https://standingfortruthministries.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Refuting-Dr.-Stefan-Frello-Part-One-The-Y-Chromosomes-of-Humans-Great-Apes-1.pdf>
- Carter, R. W. (2011). The non-mythical Adam and Eve. *Journal of Creation*, 25(2), 70–77.

- Carter, R. W. (2014). Mitochondrial diversity and the demographic history of modern humans. *Journal of Creation*, 28(1), 98–105.
- Jeanson, N. T. (2015). Y-chromosome study confirms Noah. *Answers in Genesis*. Retrieved from <https://answersingenesis.org/genetics/human-genome/y-chromosome-study-confirms-noah/>
- Jeanson, N. T. (2017). *Replacing Darwin: The New Origin of Species*. Master Books.
- Lubenow, M. L. (2004). *Bones of Contention: A Creationist Assessment of Human Fossils*. Baker Books.
- Nailor, M. (2025). *Sequence Homology Study*. Standing For Truth Ministries. Retrieved from <https://standingfortruthministries.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/Sequence-Homology-Study-by-Matt-Nailor-2.pdf>
- Rupe, C., & Budinsky, D. (2023). *Genetic Entropy and Refuting the Critics*. Standing For Truth Ministries. Retrieved from <https://standingfortruthministries.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/complete-version-Rupe-and-Budinsky-Genetic-Entropy-and-Refuting-the-Critics.pdf>
- Sanford, J. C. (2014). *Genetic Entropy*. FMS Publications.
- Scientists generate first complete chromosome sequences of non-human primates. (2024). *Nature*, 628, 456–461. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07473-2>
- Standing For Truth Ministries. (2020). *Special Creation (Updated and Expanded)*. Independently published. Black & White Edition: <https://www.amazon.com/dp/B08R64MPQ7>; Full Color Edition: <https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0BD55T5FQ>